## Assessment rubric for presentation

For team: Green By team: Blue

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment criteria** | **Excellent** | **Good** | **Satisfactory** | **Poor** |
| **Content** Presentation includes * an introduction of the team and description of the team’s process.
* a pitch of the solution idea.
* (a description of) a prototype.
 | x |  |  |  |
| **Preparedness & organization*** Thoroughly prepared and well-organized information.
* Presentation flows well and is obviously rehearsed.
* Smooth progression and suitable balance between speakers and different sections of the presentation.
 |  | x |  |  |
| **Knowledge*** Presenters demonstrate a clear understanding of their chosen sustainability challenge.
 | x |  |  |  |
| **Visual aids or other materials*** Presenters use relevant visual (or other) aids to illustrate the content and help the audience follow and understand.
* Text and images are easy to read.
 |  | x |  |  |
| **Pitch*** Strong and engaging introduction.
* Clear, thorough description of idea.
* Communicates how idea solves a sustainability challenge.
* Compelling pitch that successfully convinces audience that the idea is beneficial and viable.
* Pitch finishes strongly with a call to action.
 |  |  | x |  |
| **Delivery*** Presentation is delivered with eye

contact and enthusiasm.* Presenters speak clearly and distinctly.
* Presenters use a suitable tempo.
* Voice, pauses and body language are used to add emphasis and interest.
* All team members participate in the presentation.
 |  | x |  |  |
| **Close*** Presenters thank the audience.
* Presenters invite and respond to questions and comments.
 | x |  |  |  |
| **Timing*** Presentation is between 10-20 minutes long.
 | x |  |  |  |

## Overall comment

Tell the team what worked especially well and why. Give them one suggestion for how to improve future presentations.

It was nice to have a video prepared for missing member but it would have been even nicer to have it fully ready for the meeting.
The pitch didnt seem to engnage, could have been partly by the virtue of going last, but there seemed to be a proper hook a little bit missing or maybe not put across with ample enthusiasm.
Some slides maybe too wordy.
The pitch was very well educated and a lot of research obviously went into it.
Many interesting ideas were raised.